

A user's guide: Categorical models for equivariant classifying spaces

Mona Merling

1. Key insights and central organizing principles

This user's guide is for the paper *Categorical models for equivariant classifying spaces*, which is joint with B. Guillou and P. May. In [GMM], we find models for universal equivariant bundles and their classifying spaces as classifying spaces of categories.

Equivariant bundles are, of course, a generalization of nonequivariant bundles. In this paper, we are only interested in principal (G, Π_G) -bundles $p: E \rightarrow B$. A principal (G, Π_G) -bundle is nonequivariantly just a principal Π -bundle, but now there are G -actions in sight everywhere, including on the structure group Π , and they need to interact compatibly with the action of the structure group Π on the total space.

Let Π and G be topological groups and suppose that we have an extension of groups

$$(1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Pi \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{q} G \longrightarrow 1.$$

There is a general theory of equivariant bundles corresponding to such extensions (see, for example, [LM86, May90, May96]). However, we will only be interested in the case when G acts on Π , the group Γ is the semi-direct product $\Pi \rtimes G$, and the extension is split.

We will refer to bundles corresponding to such extensions as (G, Π_G) -bundles: G is the equivariance group, Π is the structure group, and the subscript in Π_G denotes that G is acting on Π and the bundle corresponds to the split extension given by the semidirect product with respect to this action¹. If the action of G

¹In order to be consistent with [GMM], we do not use the notation from [May96] for bundles corresponding to extensions (1). Their notation is (Π, Γ) -bundles, namely the structure group

on Π is trivial, so that $\Gamma = \Pi \times G$, then we omit the subscript G , and refer to such bundles as (G, Π) -bundles².

Again, there is a general theory of (G, Π_G) -bundles [tD69, Las82, LM86, May96] corresponding to such extensions. The theory is especially familiar when G acts trivially on Π . With $\Pi = O(n)$ or $U(n)$, the trivial action case gives classical equivariant bundle theory and equivariant topological K -theory. The main result of the preexisting theory is that there is a universal principal (G, Π_G) -bundle

$$E(G, \Pi_G) \rightarrow E(G, \Pi_G)/\Pi$$

and models for the total space $E(G, \Pi_G)$ and $B(G, \Pi_G) = E(G, \Pi_G)/\Pi$ existed. However, these models were not as classifying spaces of categories.

In [GMM], we give models for the total space $E(G, \Pi_G)$ and the classifying space $B(G, \Pi_G)$ of (G, Π_G) -bundles as classifying spaces of categories. The reason why it is important to have such models is two-fold: they are needed in equivariant infinite loop space theory and in equivariant algebraic K -theory. We address how bundle theory comes into the picture for each of these two topics.

1.1. Motivation 1: Equivariant infinite loop space theory. Infinite loop spaces satisfy a recognition principle: they are algebras over E_∞ -operads in Top (see [May72]). Algebras over an E_∞ -operad in Cat are categories whose classifying spaces are, after group completion, infinite loop spaces. The same story carries through equivariantly for a finite group G . Equivariant infinite loop spaces (or infinite loop G -spaces) are G -spaces which have deloopings with respect to all finite dimensional representations of G , so they are zeroth spaces of genuine G -spectra. Equivariant infinite loop spaces are recognized as algebras over equivariant E_∞ -operads in $G\text{Top}$ (see [LMS86]).

A new development in equivariant infinite loop space theory is defining an E_∞ -operad in $G\text{Cat}$ such that algebras over it are G -categories whose classifying spaces are, once group completed, infinite loop G -spaces (see [GM]). For this it is crucial to have models for equivariant universal bundles as classifying spaces of categories, as we go on to explain.

Nonequivariantly, an E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} in Top has spaces $\mathcal{O}(j) \simeq E\Sigma_j$, namely, universal Σ_j -bundles. An E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} in Cat is defined by the property that the space-level operad $B\mathcal{O}$ with spaces $B\mathcal{O}(j)$ is an E_∞ -operad in Top . Let $\tilde{\Sigma}_j$ be the category with objects the elements of Σ_j and a unique morphism between any two objects. Therefore any object is both initial and terminal, and $\tilde{\Sigma}_j$ is a contractible category. Also, it has a free Σ_j -action, so $B\tilde{\Sigma}_j \simeq E\Sigma_j$, thus

is listed first and the extension group second. In the notation from [May96], the bundles we are considering are $(\Pi, \Pi \rtimes G)$ -bundles.

²The notation for bundles corresponding to extensions with $\Gamma = \Pi \times G$ is consistent with [May96], where they adopt the same convention for the trivial action case, and we felt that our notation for the general case better generalizes this.

the categorical operad \mathcal{O} with categories $\mathcal{O}(j) = \widetilde{\Sigma}_j$ is an E_∞ -operad. This is also known as the *Barratt-Eccles operad*, and algebras over \mathcal{O} are permutative categories [May74].

The definition of an equivariant E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O}_G in $G\text{Top}$ is in terms of equivariant universal bundles: the spaces $\mathcal{O}_G(j)$ are defined to be universal (G, Σ_j) -bundles, which we denote for now as $E(G, \Sigma_j)$. These are universal principal Σ_j -bundles, with total and base G -spaces, G -equivariant projection map, and commuting actions of G and Σ_j on the total space. Models for universal equivariant bundles and their classifying spaces are described in [May96, VII], for example, but they are not given in terms of classifying spaces of categories.

An E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O}_G in $G\text{Cat}$ is defined by the property that applying the classifying space functor levelwise yields an E_∞ -operad in $G\text{Top}$. Thus finding an E_∞ -operad in $G\text{Cat}$ amounts to finding models for equivariant universal principal (G, Σ_j) -bundles as classifying spaces of G -categories. We summarize this in Table 1 below.

	A nonequivariant E_∞ - operad \mathcal{O}	An equivariant E_∞ - operad \mathcal{O}_G
in Top	has spaces universal Σ_j -bundles, i.e., $\mathcal{O}(j) \simeq E\Sigma_j$ example: $\mathcal{O}(j) = B\widetilde{\Sigma}_j$	has spaces universal (G, Σ_j) -bundles, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_G(j) \simeq E(G, \Sigma_j)$
in Cat	is defined such that $B\mathcal{O}(j) \simeq E\Sigma_j$ example: $\mathcal{O}(j) = \widetilde{\Sigma}_j$	is defined such that $B\mathcal{O}_G(j) \simeq E(G, \Sigma_j)$

Table 1: E_∞ operads

From the table, we can see that in order to have a definition of \mathcal{O}_G in Cat, for each j , we need a category $\mathcal{O}_G(j)$ whose classifying space is a universal principal bundle $E(G, \Sigma_j)$.

1.2. Motivation 2: Equivariant algebraic K -theory. Quillen's first definition of higher algebraic K -groups was as the homotopy groups of a space $BGL(R)^+$, which turns out to be homotopy equivalent to the basepoint component of the group completion of the topological monoid $B(\coprod_n GL_n(R)) = \coprod_n BGL_n(R)$. Note that this is the topological monoid of classifying spaces of principal $GL_n(R)$ -bundles under Whitney sum. Equivariantly, we are unconcerned with any variant of Quillen's original plus construction, but we instead replace the classifying spaces of principal $GL_n(R)$ -bundles by classifying spaces of equivariant principal bundles, before group completion.

Note that in contrast to the equivariant bundles considered in the previous section, when G was not acting on Σ_j and we had commuting actions on the total space, now we are assuming that G acts on R , which induces an action on $GL(R)$. The whole point is to take this action into account. The bundles which we are trying to understand are $(G, GL_n(R)_G)$ -bundles; they are universal principal $GL_n(R)$ bundles, but they have twisted actions on the total space, i.e., they have an action of the semidirect product $GL_n(R) \rtimes G$ on the total space. The base space is a G -space and the projection map is G -equivariant.

The intuition of defining the equivariant algebraic K -theory space of a G -ring in terms of classifying spaces of $(G, GL_n(R)_G)$ -bundles is right, in the sense that we are rigging the spaces to provide an algebra over an E_∞ -operad in $G\text{Top}$ that can be fed into an equivariant infinite loop space machine. We refrain to say more about this here, because algebraic K -theory is not really the topic of [GMM]; however, the motivation for me was to use these results in my thesis work on equivariant algebraic K -theory. The main result of [GMM] is in a sense the starting point of my thesis.

References

- [GM] B. J. Guillou and J. P. May, *Permutative G -categories in equivariant infinite loop space theory*, arXiv:1207.3459v2.
- [GMM] B. J. Guillou, J. P. May, and M. Merling, *Categorical models for equivariant classifying spaces*, arXiv:1201.5178v2.
- [Las82] R. K. Lashof, *Equivariant bundles*, Illinois J. Math. **26** (1982), no. 2, 257–271. MR 650393 (83g:57025)
- [LM86] R. K. Lashof and J. P. May, *Generalized equivariant bundles*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A **38** (1986), 265–271 (1987). MR 885537 (89e:55036)
- [LMS86] L. G. Lewis, Jr., J. P. May, and M. Steinberger, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1213, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, With contributions by J. E. McClure. MR 866482 (88e:55002)
- [May72] J. P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271. MR 0420610 (54 #8623b)
- [May74] ———, *E_∞ spaces, group completions, and permutative categories*, New developments in topology (Proc. Sympos. Algebraic Topology, Oxford, 1972), Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1974, pp. 61–93. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., No. 11. MR 0339152 (49 #3915)
- [May90] J.P. May, *Some remarks on equivariant bundles and classifying spaces*, Astérisque **191** (1990), 239–253.
- [May96] J. P. May, *Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 91, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1996, With contributions by M. Cole, G. Comezana, S. Costenoble, A. D. Elmendorf, J. P. C. Greenlees, L. G. Lewis, Jr., R. J. Piacenza, G. Triantafyllou, and S. Waner. MR 1413302 (97k:55016)
- [tD69] T. tom Dieck, *Faserbündel mit Gruppenoperation*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **20** (1969), no. 136143.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218

E-mail address: mmerling@math.jhu.edu